1 2 3	SCOTT M. MAHONEY (Nev. Bar No. 1099 FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP 300 S. Fourth Street, Suite 1500 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 252-3131 smahoney@fisherphillips.com	)
5	ESTHER G. LANDER (DC Bar No. 461316) Admitted pro hac vice AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP	
6 7	1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Telephone: (202) 887-4000	
8	elander@akingump.com	
9	WILLIAM J. EDELMAN (CA Bar No. 285177) Admitted pro hac vice AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP 580 California Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 765-9532 wedelman@akingump.com	
10		
11		
12		
13	Attorneys for Defendant DESERT PALACE, INC., d/b/a CAESARS PALACE	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
16		
17	WILLIAM J. BERRY, JR.; CYNTHIA FALLS: and SHANE KAUFMANN	
17 18	FALLS; and SHANE KAUFMANN, Plaintiffs,	Case No. 2:17-cv-00019-GMN-PAL
	FALLS; and SHANE KAUFMANN, Plaintiffs, v.	
18	FALLS; and SHANE KAUFMANN, Plaintiffs, v.  DESERT PALACE, INC., d/b/a CAESARS PALACE; DOES I through X,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY LITIGATION AND EXTEND DISCOVERY
18 19	FALLS; and SHANE KAUFMANN, Plaintiffs, v.  DESERT PALACE, INC., d/b/a	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY LITIGATION AND EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES BY THIRTY (30) DAYS
18 19 20	FALLS; and SHANE KAUFMANN, Plaintiffs, v.  DESERT PALACE, INC., d/b/a CAESARS PALACE; DOES I through X, and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY LITIGATION AND EXTEND DISCOVERY
18 19 20 21	FALLS; and SHANE KAUFMANN, Plaintiffs, v.  DESERT PALACE, INC., d/b/a CAESARS PALACE; DOES I through X, and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through X, inclusive,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY LITIGATION AND EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES BY THIRTY (30) DAYS
18 19 20 21 22	FALLS; and SHANE KAUFMANN, Plaintiffs, v.  DESERT PALACE, INC., d/b/a CAESARS PALACE; DOES I through X, and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through X, inclusive,  Defendants.	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY LITIGATION AND EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES BY THIRTY (30) DAYS
18 19 20 21 22 23	FALLS; and SHANE KAUFMANN, Plaintiffs, v.  DESERT PALACE, INC., d/b/a CAESARS PALACE; DOES I through X, and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through X, inclusive,  Defendants.	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY LITIGATION AND EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES BY THIRTY (30) DAYS (Second Request)  AGREED by and between Plaintiffs WILLIAM J.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	FALLS; and SHANE KAUFMANN, Plaintiffs, v.  DESERT PALACE, INC., d/b/a CAESARS PALACE; DOES I through X, and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through X, inclusive,  Defendants.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND BERRY, JR., CYNTHIA FALLS, and SHAN	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY LITIGATION AND EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES BY THIRTY (30) DAYS (Second Request)  AGREED by and between Plaintiffs WILLIAM J.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	FALLS; and SHANE KAUFMANN, Plaintiffs, v.  DESERT PALACE, INC., d/b/a CAESARS PALACE; DOES I through X, and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through X, inclusive,  Defendants.  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND BERRY, JR., CYNTHIA FALLS, and SHAN PALACE, INC., d/b/a CAESARS PALACE,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY LITIGATION AND EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES BY THIRTY (30) DAYS (Second Request)  AGREED by and between Plaintiffs WILLIAM J. RE KAUFMANN and Defendant DESERT

5

11

12

10

13 14

> 15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

24

25

23

26

27 28

and Scheduling Order [ECF No. 55] will be extended by thirty (30) days. This is the parties' second stipulation to stay the current proceedings and to extend discovery deadlines. The parties state as follows:

- 1. This matter was commenced on January 3, 2017 [ECF No. 1].
- 2. On June 2, 2017, the Court granted the parties' proposed discovery plan and scheduling order [ECF No. 25], which initially set December 29, 2017 as the discovery cut-off date in this matter.
- 3. On June 26, 2017, the parties took part in an Early Neutral Evaluation Conference with Magistrate Judge George Foley, Jr. [see ECF No. 27]. The case continued on the normal litigation track because no settlement was reached.
- On October 26, 2017, the parties filed a Stipulation and Order to Stay Litigation Pending the Outcome of Mediation [ECF No. 41], which adjourned the discovery deadlines set forth in the initial Discovery Plan & Scheduling Order [ECF No. 25] until after the mediation was concluded. The Court entered an order staying litigation pending the outcome of mediation [see ECF No. 45].
- 5. On December 13, 2017, the parties held a mediation session at JAMS before the Honorable Lawrence R. Leavitt (Ret.). The mediation was directed to all matters raised in this litigation. Although the parties were hopeful that the mediation would result in the successful resolution of this matter without further involvement of the Court, the parties failed to reach a settlement and the mediation was terminated.
- 6. On January 4, 2018, the Court entered an amended discovery plan and scheduling order [ECF No. 55] and set July 25, 2018 as the revised discovery cut-off date in this matter.
- 7. In accordance with Local Rule 26-4, the parties represent that they have engaged in extensive written discovery and document productions to each other. To date, plaintiff has served and defendant has responded to plaintiffs' 1st through 8th sets of requests for production of documents. In addition, defendant has served and all three plaintiffs have responded to defendant's first sets of interrogatories and requests for production of documents. Also, defendant has deposed plaintiff William J. Berry, Jr. Discovery that remains to be completed

- 8. During the past two weeks, counsel for the parties conferred on several occasions regarding whether a follow-up mediation session would be a fruitful means of resolving the litigation. On May 1, 2018, the parties agreed to move forward with a third mediation session using an outside mediator. The parties are in the process of scheduling the mediation and agreed that the mediation session will take place at JAMS before the Honorable Lawrence R. Leavitt (Ret.) on either June 8th or 12th, 2018. The parties further agreed that two (2) weeks prior to the scheduled mediation, plaintiffs will deliver to defendant a counterproposal regarding the monetary settlement offer communicated by defendant to plaintiffs' counsel on April 26, 2018.
- 9. The parties also agreed to file the instant Stipulation and Order seeking to (i) stay the current proceedings for thirty (30) days until after the mediation is concluded, and (ii) extend the discovery deadlines set forth by the Amended Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order [ECF No. 55] by thirty (30) days to account for the parties' second proposed stay of litigation. Based on the foregoing, the parties stipulate and propose the following revised discovery deadlines:
- (i) **Completion of Discovery and Discovery Cutoff Date.** The discovery period will close on August 24, 2018, which is thirty (30) days from the current discovery cutoff date of July 25, 2018.
- (ii) **Expert Witness Disclosures.** The disclosure of any expert witnesses shall be made on or before June 25, 2018, which is 60 days before the discovery deadline. The disclosures of any rebuttal experts shall be due on or before July 25, 2018, which is 30 days before the discovery deadline.
- (iii) **Dispositive Motions.** Dispositive motions shall be filed no later than September 24, 2018, which is the first business day that follows 30 days after the discovery deadline.
- (iv) **Joint Pretrial Order.** The Joint Pretrial Order shall be filed no later than October 24, 2018, which is 30 days after the dispositive motions deadline. However, in the event